

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/009,028 | 03/20/2002 | Celine Cossu | 2541-000010 | 5513 | |
| 7 | 7590 10/22/2003 | EXAMINER | | | |
| Harness Dickey & Pierce | | | JENKINS, DANIEL J | | |
| PO Box 828 Bloomfied, MI 48303 | | | ART UNIT PAPER NU | | |
| , | | | 1742 | 9 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 10/22/2003 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | | | AS | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|------------|--|--|--|
| • | | Application No. | | Applicant(s) | • | | | |
| | | 10/009,028 | | COSSU ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Daniel J. Jenkins | | 1742 | | | | |
| Period fo | Th MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply | pears on the cov | rsh et with the c | orrespond nce addre | ss | | | |
| THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, how y within the statutory mix will apply and will expire to cause the application t | ever, may a reply be tim nimum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from o become ABANDONEI | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commo | unication. | | | |
| 1) 🗌 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | | | |
| 2a)[_ | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th | nis action is non-f | inal. | · | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | | |
| · _ | on of Claims | | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| • | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| · | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| - | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | or election require | ement. | | | | | |
| | on Papers | | | | | | | |
| · | The specification is objected to by the Examine | | had to by the Eve | minor | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| • | ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | | |
| • | a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | | |
| ,. | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | |
| | Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | |
| | Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | | |
| * 5 | See the attached detailed Office action for a list | of the certified co | opies not receive | d. | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | t(s) | | | | | | | |
| 2) D Notic | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _ | 4) 5) 6) | Notice of Informal F | (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-15 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/009,028 Page 2

Art Unit: 1742

1. The Examiner agrees with Applicant's Remarks that the Examiner incorrectly interpreted the claim language in that the filler material is to be of the same or higher melting point of the material of the parts to be joined. The Examiner makes a new rejection at this time which is accordingly not made final.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP406254672A (JP'672).

JP'672 discloses in the Abstract a process for joining metal parts comprising:

supplying metal parts to be joined;

applying a filler material between the parts to be joined; and

induction heating the filler material so as to melt the filler material and join the metal parts.

JP'672 further discloses wherein the filler material comprises Fe and/or Ni and the parts to be joined are Al, Al having a lower mp than Fe or Ni.

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Application/Control Number: 10/009,028 Page 3

Art Unit: 1742

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP'672 in view of EP'378 (see paragraph 3 above).

However, JP'672 does not disclose wherein the filler material is supplied in the form of a compact, but is silent as to the form.

EP'378 teaches to supply filler material in the form of a compact between metal parts to be joined in the same field of endeavor for the purpose of providing an even amount of filler to the parts to be joined.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art the time of the invention to use a compact form of the filler material of JP'672 in order to improve the evenness of the placement of the filler material.

Application/Control Number: 10/009,028

Art Unit: 1742

7. Claim 2 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would

be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base

claim and any intervening claims.

The Examiner finds the prior art does not teach or suggest wherein induction heating is

used to join metal parts wherein the filler is the same material as the parts to be joined.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Daniel J. Jenkins whose telephone number is 703-306-

4157. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH6:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Roy King can be reached on 703-308-1146. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

0661.

Baniel J. Jenkins

Primary Examiner

Page 4

Art Unit 1742

dj

September 27, 2003